

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/945,483	FERIA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anh-Vu H. Ly	2616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anh-Vu H. Ly.

(3) _____

(2) Georgann S. Grunebach.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 04 April 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Previously assigned examiner, Kamran Emdadi, requested that additional drawings and explanations should be added to illustrate the claimed invention during the interview dated September 22, 2005 between examiner Kamran and Timothy Bauman. However, currently assigned examiner, Anh-Vu Ly, believes the claimed invention is clearly supported and described in the specifications. Therefore, additional drawings and amendment to the specification filed October 4, 2005 and December 27, 2005 will not be entered as agreed by applicant's representative .